



Summary of Feedback

1. How would you rate this course?

Excellent					Poor
5	4	3	2	1	
14	25	4			

2. As a result of the symposium I have:

- a. Improved my integration of clinical reasoning into the assessment and diagnosis of sport and musculoskeletal conditions

<i>strongly agree</i>	<i>agree</i>	<i>neutral</i>	<i>disagree</i>	<i>strongly disagree</i>
11	28	2	1	1

- b. Improved my ability to develop specific/selective diagnoses & sub-classifications of sport and musculoskeletal conditions

<i>strongly agree</i>	<i>agree</i>	<i>neutral</i>	<i>disagree</i>	<i>strongly disagree</i>
9	27	5	1	1

- c. Improved my knowledge of a broad range of management options for sport and musculoskeletal conditions

<i>strongly agree</i>	<i>agree</i>	<i>neutral</i>	<i>disagree</i>	<i>strongly disagree</i>
6	30	5	1	1

3. The session I enjoyed most was: reasons

They were all good ++, excellent presented and clinically relevant.

4. The session I enjoyed least was: reasons

All sessions were listed the main reason was that it was not relevant.

5. The course could be improved by:

Have a Masterclass on chronic pain was the most popular response.
More time for questions and discussion at the end of each presentation.
Greater chance to discuss different approaches to treatment based on clinical reasoning. This seminar placed emphasis on diagnosis. Would be interested in also looking at treatment approached and how our clinical reasoning helps this. Even more time by interstate presenters.

6. Specific feedback regarding presenters or sessions:

A job well done
Please have one each year – great info.
All very good speakers.
Excellent presenters.
Excellent range of topics presented, especially range of acute/chronic conditions.
No suggestions – I like the format as a presenter and participant, Thanks.